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Guide to the Lewis and Clark County Open Lands Program  
(Made possible by the Voter-Approved Land, Water and Wildlife Bond Measure)

This guide describes the creation of the Lewis and Clark County Open Lands Program (“Program” or “Open Lands Program”), its implementation, and the process it uses to make funding decisions.

For more information on the Program, contact:
Open Lands Program Coordinator
Lewis and Clark County Department of Community Development and Planning
316 N. Park Avenue Helena, MT 59623  planning@lccountymt.gov
406-447-8374

A. Background

In November 2008, voters in Lewis and Clark County, Montana, (the County) approved the Land, Water and Wildlife bond measure, a $10 million general obligation bond measure for “...protecting drinking water sources and ground water quality; protecting water quality in and along rivers and streams; conserving working farm, ranch and forest lands; protecting wildlife areas; preserving open lands and natural areas; providing for recreation; and managing growth and development.”

Funds generated by sales of these bonds will be distributed through the Open Lands Program. The primary purpose of the Program is to conserve resources on private lands in Lewis and Clark County that fulfill the objectives of the bond measure. In accordance with the recommendations of the Heritage Lands Working Group, the language in the campaign materials and the preference of the county commissioners, the Program will use conservation easements to achieve the purposes of the bond measure, rather than buying land outright. However, in exceptional circumstances, the Program may consider purchasing land or providing funding for land purchases by other entities, if this type of transaction best addresses the public’s interests and a landowner’s needs.

The Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) appointed a Citizens Advisory Committee on Open Lands (CAC) to recommend policies and procedures for implementing the Land, Water and Wildlife bond measure. A list of the appointees can be found on the County’s website. CAC members have an excellent range of experience in conservation transactions and work closely with County staff. The CAC’s first job was to develop the Program’s policies and procedures for recommendation to the BOCC. To complete this task, the CAC worked with local nonprofit land conservation organizations, other program both in the state and nationally, and collected public input on the County’s unique needs.

Among the policies developed by the CAC and adopted by the BOCC was the Program’s project selection process. Project selection is the process through which the CAC and County staff will assess specific projects to determine if they meet the voter-approved goals and
determine which ones the CAC will recommend to the BOCC for approval and expenditure of County bond funds. The process was designed to be streamlined and respectful of landowners’ interests while securing the maximum amount of public benefit and providing public accountability.

In order to achieve good conservation outcomes consistent with the goals of the bond funds, the CAC recommended that all potential projects involve a qualified sponsoring organization ("sponsors"). Through these partnerships with effective and experienced non-profit organizations and government agencies, the County will be able to minimize its expenditures on staff and project costs, while gaining high quality assistance and tapping into outside sources of funding to underwrite conservation activities. For more information about Sponsors, see Appendix B, Certification Process for Project Sponsors and Approved Sponsors List.

A summary of the project selection steps can be found in Section C.

B. Project Evaluation

1. Criteria for evaluating projects are the core of the selection process. The Program uses objective, quantifiable and qualitative criteria based on the Open Lands program goals as well as factors derived from other sources.

2. This section describes how the criteria and other important considerations are utilized in project selection to prioritize the County’s potential conservation investments. The Program will invest the County’s bond funds in projects that are consistent with the purposes of the Land, Water and Wildlife Bond Measure which can be summarized as follows:
   a. Conserve working farms and ranches;
   b. Conserve working forests;
   c. Protect habitat for fish and wildlife;
   d. Provide opportunities for outdoor recreation
   e. Protect water resources and water quality;
   f. Preserve open lands and natural areas; and
   g. Manage growth and development.

3. All of the goals from the bond measure that can be quantified with available data, scientific information or objective observation are analyzed through the application process. The criteria contained in the application forms were developed by the CAC and local partners, using stakeholder input, opinions provided in two public meetings, information from past County-wide planning efforts, results from the Heritage Lands Working Group’s extensive community outreach and data from federal, state and local governments and conservation organizations. Landowners and sponsors
complete the application package and provide background documentation as needed to verify their project’s qualities. In this way, County staff, the CAC, BOCC, landowners and sponsors use the same initial project evaluation methodology.

4. In addition to the basic goal statements listed above, County staff, CAC and BOCC will consider other factors to help them evaluate projects. This information may be observed during a site visit, provided in the application narrative, learned in the course of the presentation by the sponsor or known from prior conservation experience. Consistency with one or more of the bond issue’s conservation goals is one essential element of the County’s project selection process, but is not the only deciding factor. To accomplish the purposes of the bond measure, the following factors will also be considered:

a. *Conserve large blocks of land*: Input from all sources indicated that many of the resources valued by citizens of Lewis and Clark County depend on large-scale conserved areas and corridors to connect them. Accordingly, preference will be given to bigger tracts or parcels that can link protected areas. However, scale is an important consideration only if appropriate for the purpose of the project. Some types of projects will not require extensive acreage to achieve their conservation and public benefit objectives.

b. *Expand and connect existing open land holdings*: Similarly, opportunities to add to existing protected or publicly-owned lands to create large conserved areas will be prioritized. The project selection process elevates and supports opportunities to piece together expansive conserved landscapes, whether the property proposed for conservation is currently contiguous with other protected land or not.

c. *Maximize the public benefit return on the County’s funds*: Projects that offer multiple benefits to increase the return on each dollar invested in conservation will be prioritized. Leveraging each dollar through matching funds, in the form of money from other sources or reduced purchase prices offered by landowners is important. The higher the level of match, the higher the level of priority for funding,

d. *Conserve working farms and ranches*: Recognizing that farms and ranches are essential to the County’s heritage, history, economy, culture, appearance, desirability and natural features, the Program supports landowners who want to conserve their agricultural properties. Accordingly, when working lands projects are evaluated, factors such as how long a property has been owned by members of one family, how the project could help make the current agricultural operation more sustainable, the current condition of the land (as a way of judging the stewardship practices of the operation) and whether the business provides products and jobs for local communities will be considered.

e. *Conserve working forests*: Working forests and the timber/wood products industries have also been an important part of Lewis and Clark County’s
heritage. Despite the current downturn in the wood products market, county leaders realize that forests contribute in a meaningful way to local culture, scenery, water quality and the health of the environment. Therefore, supporting landowners’ efforts to retain and steward their forest lands is significant. As part of helping secure the heritage of the County, the Program’s project selection process incorporates factors such as how long a property has been in family ownership, how the project could help address fire risks, the current stewardship practices and contributions to the local economy.

f. Protect habitat for fish and wildlife: Hunting and fishing are beloved activities for many Lewis and Clark County residents and visitors. Others are equally enthusiastic about watching birds or other wildlife. For another subset of stakeholders, it is very valuable to maintain the full complement of Montana’s creatures and their habitats. These interests will be supported through the County’s conservation investments. Based on the input of experts, priority fish and wildlife projects will contain high-quality (i.e. generally undisturbed) habitat, a diversity of habitat types and sites that contribute to healthy populations of fish and wildlife.

g. Provide opportunities for outdoor recreation: There is a broad spectrum of recreational interests in Lewis and Clark County and those recreational opportunities contribute to the economy. The CAC, the staff and BOCC feel that public access is a very important goal for any publicly funded project. Although it is not a requirement, all applicants are encouraged to consider the possibility of some form of public access, even if limited. Accordingly, projects that expand the public’s ability to engage in outdoor activities of all types will be given additional consideration. Where access is provided, the County will work with the applicants to ensure that it is well-managed and maintained by an appropriate and capable partner.

h. Protect water resources and water quality: Lewis and Clark County is a dry place with limited supplies of clean fresh water. Polling prior to the bond measure showed strong local interest in ensuring that those supplies are kept healthy. Bond funding provides the County with limited financial resources to compensate landowners for caring for this irreplaceable resource. To make the best possible use of the limited funds, lands that protect ground or surface water that feeds private or public water sources will be prioritized. Locations where development – and its corresponding changes to the land – would most impact drinking water will have preference.

i. Protect and restore riparian corridors along waterways: During project selection, factors that support healthy waterways will be considered. These factors include native riparian vegetation, natural watercourses and dedicated buffers to keep pollutants out of the water. Experts have counseled that water quantity and quality are inextricably linked. Therefore, to the degree legally possible, keeping water in rivers and streams will have priority. Other desirable characteristics
include protection of both banks of a waterway, longer stretches of frontage, current restoration efforts and other evidence of good or improving water quality.

j. *Preserve open lands and natural areas:* Area residents have repeatedly expressed their desire to retain the landscapes, expansive vistas, unique resources, local culture, open space and natural environment that make Lewis and Clark County a desirable place to live, work, recreate and visit. Accordingly, when considering projects, conservation of properties that contribute to the character of the County such as iconic landscapes, especially good examples of natural features, or helping communities achieve their established open space objectives will be prioritized. Where appropriate, and as defined by the Growth Policy, consideration will be given to how a project might contribute to creating a buffer or green space around a community’s important conservation values.

k. *Manage growth and development:* By implementing the other conservation purposes of the bond measure the Program will automatically accomplish this goal. Conserving lands that contain the natural, scenic, cultural and recreational resources targeted for funding will be a step toward directing growth and development to sites that are less sensitive or locally valued. During the project selection process, consideration will be given to the type and level of threat a property (such as imminent subdivision) and associated resource values is facing and the relative urgency of conserving it. The program will also consider the long-term viability of the conservation values.

l. *Ensure permanent conservation outcomes:* Conserving land in perpetuity is an implicit objective. Accordingly, the terms and conditions of the transaction will be carefully and completely scrutinized to ensure that this objective is met. A property may contain fine resources but if the project will not adequately conserve those resources it may not be deemed a priority for funding.

**C. Project Selection Overview**

1. This summary is intended to help landowners, sponsor organizations and any other interested member of the public understand how the applications for bond funds are reviewed and selected.

2. The CAC and the County use the following assumptions and values as the cornerstones when developing the Program’s project selection process:
   a. Participation is entirely voluntary and must involve the landowner from the beginning.
   b. The County wants to minimize the amount of money spent on staff and other expenses associated with implementation, and maximize the amount of money spent on conservation.
   c. Projects must be initiated by the landowner in partnership with a sponsor.
d. Project selection will be open and transparent to build public confidence in how the bond funds are spent. This must be balanced with respect for landowners’ reasonable privacy interests.

e. The County’s focus is on protecting private lands using conservation easements, rather than increasing public ownership through acquisition.

f. The County is committed to securing as much matching money as possible in order to achieve the most public benefit possible with the bond funds.

3. The program uses a detailed review and selection process to determine which individual projects will receive bond funding for conservation. (A flow chart of the process is provided in Appendix C to this guide.) Phases of the process consist of submission and review of the Level One application, the Level Two application and the required due diligence materials.

4. Each phase is designed to identify and facilitate expeditious approval of projects that meet program requirements and advance conservation interests. All decisions on expenditure of bond funds will be made by the Board of County Commissioners based upon recommendations of the CAC, County staff, legal counsel and public input.

5. Revisions made to the Program Guide in 2014 required submission of all due diligence documentation with the Level Two application in order to reduce the time needed to complete the application process. After two years of operating under the expedited process, some project sponsors and applicants expressed concern with the financial risks involved in completing due diligence documentation before receiving any indication that funding would be awarded. Based on input from project sponsors and applicants, the County established a dual track for Level Two project reviews in 2017.

6. This Program Guide now offers project sponsors and applicants the choice of pursuing the Standard Review Process (due diligence submitted after a preliminary funding decision), or the Expedited Review Process (all due diligence documentation submitted with the initial Level Two application). Applicants will choose a track after the Level One meeting as indicated in the Open Lands Program Project Flow Chart in Appendix C. Applicants with a priority of reducing financial risk should choose the Standard process. Applicants with a priority of reducing the time required to move through the process should choose the Expedited process.

D. Level One Application and Meeting

As noted in Section A of this Program Guide, any request for a grant from the Land, Water & Wildlife Bond fund must be sponsored by a nonprofit land trust or public agency that has been certified for participation in the Open Lands Program (see Appendix B for details of sponsor certification). The sponsor organization initiates the grant process by submitting a Level One application (Appendix D), after which the Level One meeting is scheduled between the sponsor and county staff. The landowner is welcome to participate in this meeting and in any future
steps of the review process. Several members of the Open Lands Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) also attend the Level One meeting (see Appendix E for CAC participation guidelines).

At the Level One meeting the sponsor introduces the project, and the sponsor and landowner (if attending) answer questions from the county representatives. The CAC also provides information on projects that have previously been awarded Open Lands funds. Based on the discussion and the written application, county staff informs the sponsor of any concerns about whether the project meets the minimum standards of the Open Lands Program. If the project does meet the minimum standards, county staff and CAC members explain the upcoming steps in project review and inform the sponsor about the option to pursue the Standard Review Process or Expedited Review Process (see Section E below). If the project does not clearly meet the minimum standards, county staff informs the sponsor about the deficiencies of the Level One application. The sponsor may subsequently modify and resubmit the application to address the identified deficiencies and then coordinates with county staff to schedule a Level One meeting for the modified application.

**E. Selection of Review Process**

After a project passes Level One review, the sponsor in consultation with the landowner chooses either the Standard Review Process or Expedited Review Process. These processes are illustrated in the Project Flow Chart (Appendix C) and described in following sections of this Program Guide.

The Standard Review Process is designed to provide the sponsor and landowner with a preliminary indication of how the Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) views the project and its funding prospects *prior to the time that the sponsor/landowner must prepare the various due diligence materials that are required for final county review of the application*. This enables the sponsor/landowner to make a better informed decision about whether to commit the considerable time, effort and funds to produce the due diligence materials. A step-by-step discussion of the Standard Review Process is presented in Section F, and a detailed review of the due diligence materials is presented in Section H and Appendix G.

The Expedited Review Process is designed to move the project through CAC and BOCC review in a faster manner than the standard review. This gives the sponsor/landowner a better opportunity to meet a desired timetable, as might for example be necessary to achieve a calendar-year closing target. To receive an expedited review the sponsor must prepare and submit the completed due diligence materials at the time the Level Two application is submitted. This is prior to any preliminary indication of the BOCC’s position on project approval or funding. A step-by-step discussion of the Expedited Review Process is presented in Section G.

To allow the BOCC to develop a preliminary position on the project prior to the sponsor’s submission of the due diligence materials, the Standard Review Process entails more steps than the Expedited Review Process. These added steps include an additional meeting by the CAC and an additional public hearing/decision session of the BOCC. The standard review can generally
be expected to take 3 - 6 months longer than the expedited review, although many factors (such as meeting schedules, legal reviews, requests for additional information, landowner negotiations, timing of outside financial commitments) can affect the duration of project review under either the standard or expedited process.

In making a choice between the Standard Review Process and the Expedited Review Process, project sponsors and landowners are advised to carefully consider which factor is more important: receiving a preliminary indication of the BOCC’s position on the project or having a quicker project review process. Once this choice is made, the sponsor informs county staff of the decision, after which the project proceeds through the steps of the selected review process.

F. Standard Review Process – Level Two Application

If the sponsor chooses the Standard Review Process, project review proceeds as indicated on the left side of the Project Flow Chart (Appendix C). This review process is described in the following numbered paragraphs (the paragraph numbers below correspond to the numbered boxes in the flow chart). Note that this overview of process steps represents the general model followed by the CAC and BOCC, but there may be variations depending on project-specific circumstances.

1. The sponsor initiates Level Two review by submitting an Open Lands Program - Level Two Application (Appendix F) to the Lewis and Clark County Planning Office. The Level Two application provides details about the project proposal, conservation benefits, funding arrangements and other relevant topics. For the Standard Review Process, this submission does not require the due diligence materials and other information described in Section H and Appendix G of this Program Guide at this time.

County staff reviews the Level Two application and any associated materials for completeness. If necessary, staff will contact the sponsor to request additional information and/or documentation. Staff may return the application to the sponsor for additional work before the application is deemed complete. Once county staff determines that the Level Two application is complete, staff prepares a brief written report on the project’s goals, methods of accomplishing those goals, and compliance with Open Lands Program standards. Staff provides the application and report to the CAC.

2. The CAC places the project on the agenda for its next regular meeting (or the CAC may call a special meeting). The sponsor presents the project as described in the Level Two application and responds to questions from CAC members.

3. CAC members arrange to visit the project site with representatives of the sponsor organization and the landowner, if the landowner wishes to be present at the site visit. Members of the BOCC are invited to attend.

4. The project is placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the CAC following the site visit. The CAC’s decision at this time is whether to recommend the BOCC approve or reject the
application. The sponsor may provide any updates or additional details and respond to CAC questions arising since the previous meeting. (At the request of the sponsor/landowner, the CAC may close a portion of the public meeting to protect the reasonable privacy interests of the landowner or sponsor.) The Chair then opens the meeting to public comment. Following public comment, CAC members discuss the project in consideration of the application, staff report, site visit and public comment. The CAC also reviews the criteria listed in Section B of this Program Guide and completes the Project Evaluation Matrix (Appendix I). The CAC then votes on whether to recommend that the BOCC approve or reject the application. Following the meeting, the CAC submits this recommendation, accompanied by the matrix, to the BOCC. The CAC may also provide a brief narrative to further explain the recommendation and matrix ratings.

5. County staff prepares a report for the BOCC, summarizing how the project meets the Open Lands Program criteria and reviewing financial considerations, the CAC recommendation and any unresolved issues. The BOCC reviews the application and summary report and, at a regularly scheduled and noticed public meeting, conducts a public hearing. This public hearing marks the beginning of a 30-day public comment period.

6. At the first regularly scheduled and publicly noticed meeting following the 30-day comment period, the BOCC reviews the project information, including the record of public comment, and votes to approve the project as submitted; to approve the project with conditions; or to reject the project. If a project is approved or approved with conditions, the BOCC shall also specify the amount of bond funds that will be provided if the project successfully completes due diligence review and the remainder of the Standard Review Process (through Paragraph 9 of this Section F) and moves forward to closing. The BOCC has discretion to determine this funding amount; consequently, the amount may differ from the amount requested in the Level II application. The purpose of having the BOCC specify the funding allocation at this time is to allow the sponsor and landowner to make an informed evaluation of their project’s financial feasibility before undertaking the potentially costly components of due diligence review (such as appraisal, baseline documentation, mineral report, and other required documents and reports described in Appendix G).

7. If the BOCC votes to approve the project, the sponsor then prepares and submits all due diligence documents and other required information, as described in Section H and Appendix G. County staff, including the County Attorney’s Office, reviews the materials for content, legal sufficiency, and completeness. If necessary, county staff will contact the sponsor to request additional or corrected information, which may put the review process on hold until the required information is provided. Once the due diligence documentation has been accepted by county staff as complete, staff prepares a report on the due diligence review and provides that report to the CAC. If any unanticipated issues affecting the project’s feasibility or its public benefits have been brought to light through the due diligence process, County staff (including the County Attorney’s Office) shall raise those issues in this report.
8. At its next meeting, the CAC reviews the county report and provides an additional opportunity for public comment. If due diligence materials have been approved for content, legal sufficiency, and completeness by county staff, and no unanticipated issues affecting the project’s feasibility or its public benefits have been raised, the CAC forwards the project to the BOCC for final approval. If an unanticipated issue has been raised, or the project’s terms have been substantially changed such that the project no longer conforms to the BOCC’s approval in step 6, the CAC may recommend against approval of the project or may recommend that the project return to step 4 for reconsideration and reopening of public comment.

9. At a regularly scheduled and publicly noticed meeting, the BOCC reviews the project information and shall grant final approval for funding so long as all conditions and due diligence requirements have been met. The County Attorney’s Office then works with the sponsor to close the project, as discussed in Section I of this Program Guide.

G. Expedited Review Process – Level Two Application

If the project sponsor chooses the Expedited Review Process, project review proceeds as indicated on the right side of the Open Lands Program Project Flow Chart (Appendix C). This review process is described in the following numbered paragraphs (the paragraph numbers below correspond to the numbered boxes in the flow chart). Note that this overview of process steps represents the general model followed by the CAC and BOCC, but there may be variations depending on project-specific circumstances.

1. The sponsor initiates Level Two project review by submitting a completed Open Lands Program - Level Two Application (Appendix F) to the Lewis and Clark County Planning Office. The application provides details about the project proposal, conservation benefits, funding arrangements and other relevant topics. At this time the sponsor also submits due diligence materials (appraisal, hazmat report, title commitment, draft conservation easement, baseline documentation and minerals reports) and other information required under Section H and Appendix G of this Program Guide.

2. County staff reviews the documentation for content and completeness. If necessary, county staff will contact the sponsor to request additional or corrected information, which may put the county review process on hold until the required information is provided. Once the due diligence documentation has been accepted by county staff as complete, staff prepares a report on its review and provides that report to the CAC.

3. The CAC reviews the project, either at its next regular meeting or at a special meeting called by the Chair. The sponsor presents the project as described in the Level Two application and the due diligence materials, and responds to questions from CAC members.

4. CAC members arrange to visit the project site with representatives of the sponsor organization and the landowner (if the landowner wishes to be present at the site visit). Members of the BOCC are invited to attend.
5. The project is placed on the agenda of the next meeting of the CAC following the site visit. The CAC’s decision at this time is whether to recommend that the BOCC approve the project. The sponsor may provide any updates or additional details and respond to CAC questions arising since the previous meeting. (At the request of the sponsor/landowner, the CAC may close a portion of the meeting to protect the reasonable privacy interests of the landowner or sponsor.) The Chair then opens the meeting to public comment. Following public comment, CAC members discuss the project in consideration of the application, staff report, site visit and public comment. The CAC also reviews the criteria listed in Section B of this Program Guide and completes the Project Evaluation Matrix (Appendix I). The CAC then votes on whether to recommend that the BOCC approve the project. Following the meeting, the CAC submits its recommendation, accompanied by the matrix, to the BOCC. The CAC may also provide a brief narrative to further explain the recommendation and matrix ratings.

6. At a regularly scheduled and publicly noticed meeting, the BOCC reviews the project information and votes on whether to accept the CAC recommendation. This action initiates a 30-day public comment period.

7. At the end of the 30-day comment period, the BOCC reviews public comment and makes a final decision on whether to grant funds from the Land, Water & Wildlife Bond to the project. If the decision is positive, the BOCC also specifies the amount of bond funds to be provided. The County Attorney’s Office then works with the sponsor to close the project, as discussed in Section I of this Program Guide.

H. Due Diligence Review:

1. Due Diligence is as essential to project selection as the determination that a project is eligible for Open Lands Program funding and has support of the CAC and County Commissioners. During this review, all of the assumptions and information from the application are confirmed in order to ascertain that the project will be implemented as presented and the conservation protections are sufficient and enforceable. In order to assure expeditious processing of Level Two applications, all information required for due diligence must be submitted with the application for Expedited review, or upon preliminary approval for Standard review. County staff will not process incomplete due diligence documentation or schedule reviews by the CAC.

2. The landowner and sponsor are responsible for confirming the details provided in the application by conducting the investigations required by the County and providing the documents listed below.

3. Although the actual list of Due Diligence requirements may vary from project to project, in general the County will require the following items:

   a. Title commitment for the subject property and resolution of any title issues revealed, such as a mortgage subordination agreement.

   b. A Mineral Rights Statement and a finding that the probability of any surface
mining is so remote as to be negligible and/or if mineral rights have been
severed from surface ownership.

c. Evaluation of water rights and their ownership and status.

d. A boundary description of the property proposed for conservation and any
building envelopes reserved on the property proposed for conservation. This
information must be submitted with the Level Two application for the
Standard Review process as well as the Expedited review.

e. Environmental Report -- and resolution of any significant problems revealed.

f. Appraisal information. This information must be submitted with the Level Two
application for the Standard Review process as well as the Expedited review.

g. Purchase and Sale agreement between the County and the landowner or
sponsoring organization.

h. The proposed conservation easement terms, including any clauses required
by the County, if the project proposes creation of a conservation easement.
[see Conservation Easement Provisions Required by County Open Lands
Program in Appendix G] This information must be submitted with the Level
Two application for the Standard Review process as well as the Expedited
review. For the Expedited Review Process, the proposed conservation
easement document must be in final or near-final form so that detailed legal
review by the County can proceed. For the Standard Review Process, the terms
of the conservation easement must be comprehensive and clearly specified,
although the actual conservation easement document need not be in final
form.

i. Supplementary maps of the property proposed for conservation, if different
from the maps submitted during the Level One phase.

j. A baseline documentation report.

k. An agreement about responsibilities between the County and the
organization that will hold the easement or other property interests.

4. County staff will ascertain if the Due Diligence documentation is complete and
sufficiently validates the information and terms provided in the application. The
County may need other documents depending on the circumstances related to an
individual project and property conditions and will make every effort to request such
project-specific information at the beginning of the application review. However, in
some instances additional requirements may be made in response to Due Diligence
materials provided and/or issues that come up in the public process.

5. In the event that the Due Diligence documentation is incomplete or reveals substantial
differences from the proposed project as presented in the application or issues arise
about the condition of the property, the CAC could recommend to the BOCC that the
landowner and sponsor work through these issues prior to a funding decision or
recommend that the BOCC not approve the project for funding.

I. Closing and Post-closing Actions

1. Regardless of the type of property interest conveyed in the transaction, the County, sponsor and landowner will make legal arrangements prior to closing that ensure that the conservation values are maintained.

2. In addition to the Due Diligence items listed in Section G, the following items will be required after the BOCC has approved the project for funding and authorized closing:
   
   i. Closing arrangement information.
   
   m. Closing documents.

3. After the conveyance of property interests in exchange for County bond funds is properly recorded, on-going activities may be necessary to ensure that the values conserved with county funding are maintained. Stewardship, monitoring and enforcement of any conservation easement acquired using bond funds will be the responsibility of the primary grantee, which in many cases will be the project sponsor. The County will require annual reports from the primary grantee to ensure that the conservation values are being maintained in accordance with the project’s goals.
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APPENDIX A
INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS: Make an X or similar mark in the vacant square before the words "BONDS--YES" if you wish to vote for the bond issue; if you are opposed to the bond issue, make an X or similar mark in the square before the words "BONDS- NO."

Shall the Board of County Commissioners (the "Board") of Lewis and Clark County, Montana (the "County") be authorized to issue and sell general obligation bonds of the County, in one or more series in the aggregate amount of up to Ten Million and No/100 Dollars ($10,000,000.00), bearing interest at rates to be determined by the Board at a competitive sale, payable semiannually during a term not to exceed twenty (20) years and redeemable on any date after one-half of their term, for the purpose of preserving open-space lands in the County, including working lands and land for protecting water and wildlife, by providing funds to acquire conservation easements or other property interests from willing sellers and to pay costs associated with the sale and issuance of bonds, for any one or more of the following reasons: protecting drinking water sources and ground water quality; protecting water quality in and along rivers and streams; conserving working farm, ranch and forest lands; protecting wildlife areas; preserving open lands and natural areas; providing for recreation; and managing growth and development?

If the election on the bonds should pass, the County shall create a citizens advisory committee charged with making recommendations on the expenditure of bond funds to the Board.

The estimated annual tax upon the issuance of the full $10,000,000 in bonds, assuming a 5.50% interest rate per annum on the bonds for 20 years and based on the current assessed value of property in the County that would be subject to taxation to pay the debt service on the bonds, is estimated to be $16.77 for a home with an assessed value of $100,000 and $33.54 for a home with an assessed value of $200,000.
APPENDIX B
Certification Process for Project Sponsors
Lewis and Clark County Open Lands Program

Introduction

This document explains the process for approving the qualifications of Qualified Sponsoring Organizations (Sponsors) participating in Lewis and Clark County’s Open Lands Program (Program). Sponsors are defined in the Program’s ApplicationforConservationFunding.

The Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) wants to ensure that Sponsors are bona fide organizations and agencies qualified to hold, steward, maintain and defend conservation easements funded with Lewis and Clark County bond funds. The County Attorney’s office has recommended that the Program request certain documentation from Sponsors that would demonstrate their qualifications.

Instead of requiring this documentation as part of a specific application, potential Sponsors may choose to be pre-certified in advance of submitting applications for funding. Potential Sponsors would not be required to secure pre-certification and could choose to submit the required documentation with the funding application.

The following is a list of documents that the County Attorney’s office will require for Sponsor certification. Sponsors will be certified upon submitting these documents (on disk) to the County. In instances where a Sponsor does not anticipate holding and enforcing conservation easements, items related to easement stewardship could be omitted. However, Sponsors pre-certified only for transactional partnerships with the Open Lands Program would have to partner with another organization or agency certified by the County to hold easements or fee title.

CERTIFICATION DOCUMENTS:

1. Mission, Bylaws, Articles of Incorporation, Date of Inception (if applicable)
2. IRS determination letter granting 501(c)3 status, if Sponsor is a not-for-profit
3. A letter from the Executive Director or other authorized person attesting to the existence of the organization’s Defense Fund and Stewardship Fund (applicable to those entities that may hold conservation easements) and explaining how they are maintained
4. Number of conservation easements held, and acres under easement that Sponsor has negotiated or is already monitoring
(5) Policies and Procedures (a-c apply only to entities that may hold conservation easements):

   a. Baseline documentation - development and maintenance
   b. Conservation Easement Violation Policy/Procedures
   c. Conservation Easement Amendment Policy/Procedures that include these two principal constraints
      i. Impact on conservation values
      ii. Private inurement/benefit back to landowner
   d. Conflict of Interest Policy

(6) Accreditation Status (Accreditation by the Land Trust Accreditation Commission is not a requirement for certification; however the County would like to know if Sponsors have applied and have been accredited.)

(7) Proof of Membership in the Montana Association of Land Trusts, if a land trust.
Lewis and Clark County Open Lands Program requires that a landowner apply in partnership with a sponsoring organization or agency. The sponsoring organization or agency would work with landowners to evaluate eligibility, complete and submit applications, and provide technical assistance. A sponsoring organization or agency is also required to hold and monitor the conservation easement.

The following is a list of organizations that have experience in Lewis and Clark County:

- **Prickly Pear Land Trust**
  46 N. Last Chance Gulch, Suite 2A
  P.O. Box 892
  Helena, MT 59624
  (406) 442-0490
  [www.pricklypearlt.org](http://www.pricklypearlt.org)

- **Blackfoot Challenge**
  405 Main Street
  P.O. Box 103
  Ovando, MT 59854 (406)
  793-3900
  [www.blackfootchallenge.org](http://www.blackfootchallenge.org)

- **Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks**
  1420 E. 6th Avenue
  P.O. Box 200701
  Helena, MT 59620-0701
  (406) 444-2535
  [www.fwp.mt.gov](http://www.fwp.mt.gov)

- **The Nature Conservancy**
  32 South Ewing, Suite 215
  Helena, MT 59601
  (406) 443-0303
  [www.nature.org](http://www.nature.org)

- **Trust for Public Land**
  Emerson Cultural Center
  111 South Grand Avenue, Suite 203
  Bozeman, MT 59715
  (406) 522-7450
  [www.tpl.org](http://www.tpl.org)

- **Montana Land Reliance**
  324 Fuller Avenue
  P.O. Box 355
  Helena, MT 59624-0355
  (406) 443-7027
  [www.mtlandreliance.org](http://www.mtlandreliance.org)

- **The Conservation Fund**
  125 Bank Street, Suite 612
  Missoula, MT 59802 (406)
  541-8555
  [www.conservationfund.org](http://www.conservationfund.org)

- **U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service**
  Montana Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program
  P.O. Box 66
  Ovando, MT 59854 (406) 727-7400

- **Five Valleys Land Trust**
  120 Hickory Street, Suite B
  Missoula, MT 59801
  (406) 549-0755
  [www.fvlt.org](http://www.fvlt.org)

- **Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation**
  5705 Grant Creek
  Missoula, MT 59808
  (406) 523-4500
  [www.rmef.org](http://www.rmef.org)
APPENDIX C
LEWIS & CLARK COUNTY

OPEN LANDS FUNDING LEVEL ONE APPLICATION

Please respond in writing to the questions below. Responses should be forwarded to Community & Planning Department, City-County Building, Room 220, Helena, Montana 59623. For additional information, please contact Community Development & Planning at 406-447-8374.

Landowner and Sponsor Agency Identification: __________________________________________________________

Project Name: ________________________________________________________________

Planning Area (Circle one): Augusta, Canyon Ferry/York, Craig/Wolf Creek, Helena, Lincoln, Marysville

Project Address: ________________________________________________________________

Project Legal Location: T _______ R _______ Section _________

Project Type: ( easement, acquisition, etc.):

Owner Signature: ___________________________ Sponsor Agent Signature: ___________________________

Date: ______________________________________________________________

Please elaborate but do not use more than two attached pages (total) for your responses.

1. Briefly describe the conservation values on the property and explain how the completion of this project will protect the conservation values of the property and meet the goals of the Open Lands Program to:
   a. Conserve working farms & ranches;
   b. Conserve working forests;
   c. Protect habitat for fish and wildlife;
   d. Provide opportunities for outdoor recreation;
   e. Protect water resources and water quality;
   f. Preserve open lands and natural areas; and, g. Manage growth and development.

2. Describe how the history of the sponsor agency allows it to be capable of the long-term stewardship and management of the conservation values of the property.

3. State why the applicants consider the property to be of sufficient size to be commensurate with the type of open space values being protected.

4. State if the land is or is not contaminated by hazardous or toxic substances from previous on or off site uses. If the land is contaminated, please identify the contamination and describe how the materials can be removed from the property and the site reclaimed.

5. Please declare if the property is associated with a subdivision or any other land use development. If so, explain how the subdivision/development is compatible with the goals and policies of Lewis & Clark County, the surrounding local community, and the open space values contained in the Open Lands Program listed above.

6. If the project will be funded by sources other than the Lewis & Clark County Open Lands Bond, please identify the sources and extent of the funding.

Thank you. Projects passing the Level One review will be forwarded to the Lewis & Clark County Open Lands Citizen Advisory Committee only when accompanied by a Level 2 application.
Lewis & Clark County Open Lands Citizen Advisory Committee

Guidelines for Attending Level One Application Meetings with Potential Open Space Bond Applicants:

1. CAC members’ primary reason for attendance is to observe the initial process.

2. CAC member(s) will avoid making comments that might convey approval, disapproval, or modifications of a potential application.

3. At the beginning of meeting, the member or Community Development & Planning staff will inform the potential applicant(s) of committee membership and policy of individual members not speaking for the committee.

4. CAC member(s) may make factual statements such as committee purpose, membership composition, projects approved to date.

5. Invitation for committee member attendance will be by rotation among those members who agree to participate in this activity.

6. CAC representatives, if possible, will consist of one representative from the applicant's region and one from elsewhere in the County.
APPENDIX F
Lewis and Clark County Open Lands Program
Level Two
Application

Landowner and Agency Identification
Please state the name, address, and telephone number of the landowner and of the
sponsoring land conservation organization.

Please submit this application by digital media accompanied by one complete paper version.

Note: When submitted to the County, this application will become a public document and
all information contained in it may be viewed by any member of the public that may request to do so.

These criteria summarize the objective, quantifiable, desirable characteristics sought by the Open
Lands Program as established by the Land, Water and Wildlife bond measure passed by voters in
2008. These criteria will be used by County Staff and the Citizens Advisory Committee on Open Lands (CAC) as an objective means to guide the evaluation of specific projects to determine if they meet the voter-approved goals for conservation funding. The CAC recognizes that not all these features will be present on each property.

Provide a general description of the property that includes:

a. The size of the property – total acreage and amounts by sub-ownership categories (i.e., deeded, leased, permitted public land, etc.)
b. Size of the area to be included in the conservation easement or land purchase – total acreage and percentage of deeded land
c. Permitted home sites – identify any existing home sites and future home sites that will be permitted in the conservation easement.
d. Water/Mineral Rights – identify any other rights appurtenant to the property and whether they will remain with the property
e. Hazardous materials – confirm that no hazardous materials, as defined by the federal government, are known or believed to be present on the property both above and below ground. If the land is contaminated, the contamination has been identified and a description is included as to how the material can be removed from the property and the site reclaimed.
f. Other Conservation Easements and Public Lands – adjacent or nearby existing or proposed conservation easements and/or public land that would enhance the purpose of this application.
g. Commercial Activities – identify and describe any existing and/or proposed commercial activities occurring on the property.
**Funding:** Describe the general budget of the project and any of the following that apply.

- [ ] What funding is requested from the County and how will they be applied?
- [ ] Will the County’s funds be matched through donation of land value and/or other funding sources? If so, what amounts have been approved or requested, or are pending approval?

- [ ] If applicable, describe the total project costs, including transaction costs and stewardship fees.

**Conserve Working Farms and Ranches:** Describe the value of the property in terms of continuing or restoring the historic land use, and identify any of the following characteristics that apply.

- [ ] Does the property contain "prime, unique, statewide or locally important" agricultural soils, as defined by NRCS?
- [ ] Is the property contiguous with other working lands?
- [ ] Is this property currently a working farm or ranch?
- [ ] Is there an existing farm or ranch management plan in place?

**Conserve Working Forests:** Describe the value of the property in terms of protecting or improving forest uses, and identify any of the following characteristics that apply.

- [ ] Is the property located within the urban/wildland interface?
- [ ] Is the location deemed to be an area of high risk for wildfire?
- [ ] Is there a current forest management plan?
- [ ] Is the property, or a portion of it, classified as forest land/commercial timber for tax purposes?
- [ ] Is the forest being actively managed for current or future timber harvest?

**Protect Habitat for Fish and Wildlife:** Describe the value of the property as fish and wildlife habitat, and include any of the following that apply.

- [ ] Does the property contain significant wildlife habitat?
- [ ] Is there a diversity of habitat for wildlife or fish on the property?
- [ ] Has the property been identified as an area critical to the lifecycle of some wildlife species? (Summer or winter range, migration corridors, spawning habitat, etc.)
- [ ] Is there habitat for Threatened and Endangered, or Sensitive species of wildlife or fish?
- [ ] Are there streams or rivers with high habitat ratings from MFWP?
- [ ] Is there intact native riparian habitat?

**Provide Opportunities for Outdoor Recreation:** Describe the value of the property for
outdoor recreation, and identify any of the following that apply.

☐ Will the proposed project maintain or increase legal and physical access for the public to existing public land or waters?
☐ Does the proposed project include legal access for the public on the private land proposed for conservation?
☐ Will the project secure access for the public to an existing, long-standing recreation or access site?
☐ Does the site and type of access offer the possibility of recreation for people with physical limitations?
☐ Will the project create or maintain a trailhead or trail for use by the public?
☐ Does the project support an existing, adopted outdoor recreation plan or initiative?

Protect Water Resources and Water Quality: Describe the value of the property in terms of Water Resources and Water Quality, and identify any of the following that may apply.

☐ Does the property contain high-quality wetlands?
☐ Are there lakes, ponds, or springs located on the property?
☐ Does the property contain riparian areas with intact vegetation?
☐ Are there rivers/streams with perennial flows?
☐ Does the property contain land in the 100 year floodplain?
☐ Are there water rights appurtenant to the property?
☐ Is the property within a watershed that is the source of a public water supply system?
☐ Is the property in an area that has been identified by a local, state or federal government agency as particularly sensitive in terms of its geology, soils or risk to an aquifer?
☐ Has DEQ classified the waterway on the property as A-1 or better (per ARM 17.30.606-614)?

Preserve Open Lands, Natural Areas and Historic Value: Describe the value of the property in terms of Open Lands, Natural Areas and Historic Value, and identify any of the following that may apply.

☐ Does the property contain scenic features that are strongly associated with the county's identity and sense of place?
☐ Will the project conserve unique geologic or geographic features?
☐ Does the proposed project help implement an adopted community plan or neighborhood vision?
☐ Does the property adjoin a city, town or unincorporated community?
☐ Are there threatened or endangered species, or species of concern on the property?
☐ Is there a particularly good example of a native habitat (e.g., native grasslands, healthy stands of aspen or limber pine, riparian plant associations)?
☐ Are there historic, archeological or prehistoric sites located on the property including historic or prehistoric corridors and trails?
Are there any other outstanding or unique feature of the property you would like to describe?

**Required Supporting Documentation:** Please refer to the ‘Required Attachments for Level Two Application for Projects Funded by the Open Lands Program, Lewis and Clark County, Montana’ document.

I/we have prepared this application to the best of our ability and knowledge and request that Lewis and Clark County evaluate this request for funding based on the information contained herein. I/we understand the project selection process described in the Lewis and Clark Open Lands Program Guide. I/we understand that a recommendation that this project move to the Due Diligence Phase is not a guarantee of funding. If any information or circumstance changes substantially the project may be subject to reconsideration or reapplication, as described in the Program Guide.

I am authorized to sign this application as the applicant/landowner or a representative of the Sponsor.

__________________________________________

Landowner signature

Date

And/or

__________________________________________

Sponsor representative signature

Date
APPENDIX G
Required Attachments for Level Two Application
For Projects Funded by the Open Lands Program, Lewis and Clark County, Montana

Note: Project sponsors who have selected the Expedited Review process (as described in section G of the Program Guide) must provide these materials at the time of submission of the Level Two Application. Project sponsors who have selected the Standard Review process submit items 2, 4 and 11 with the Level Two Application and may submit these attachments at a later time (as described in Section F of the Program Guide). Please consult with county staff to review the documents and information to be submitted and the timing of these submissions under the selected review process.

The project sponsor is responsible for providing documents and information necessary for the county to complete its due diligence review and to undertake a detailed evaluation of the project. The precise timing of the submission of these materials depends on whether the project will be subject to the Standard Review Process or the Expedited Review Process, as described previously in this Program Guide.

A. This appendix lists the required items to be submitted as part of the application process. However, depending on project specifics, not all these items may be required. If a sponsor has questions or would like clarification of what items are necessary, please contact staff of the Open Lands Program at the Lewis and Clark County Community Development and Planning Department.

1. Title Commitment and copies of Schedule A and B lists of documents
   Provide the County with a copy of an American Land Title Association (ALTA) form commitment for title insurance and with copies of all documents referenced in the Schedule A list of requirements and Schedule B list of exceptions to title.

   □ The commitment must propose to insure the interest of the Grantee (holder of the easement), which in most cases will be the Project Sponsor, and Backup grantee (Lewis and Clark County), except where a federal or state agency’s regulations prevent the County from being named Backup Grantee, in the event that Backup Grantee becomes the primary holder.

   □ The commitment must propose to insure an amount not less than the total project cost, including the full amount from all funding sources.

   Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
   Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes ___ or No ___

2. Boundary descriptions of the property proposed for conservation and any proposed building envelopes
   The County needs to ensure it has adequately specific information detailing exactly what property the bond funds are supporting for conservation. For that reason, a legally adequate boundary description of the property proposed for conservation, and any areas within the conserved property boundaries designated as building envelopes, is required by the County. The County understands that conducting a survey of an entire property or a survey of building envelopes provides a high level of accuracy for a property description; however, in many
cases this methodology may be prohibitively expensive. Therefore, the County provides the following alternatives for boundary descriptions of properties proposed for conservation and for any building envelopes:

**a. Boundary description of the property**

If the boundaries of the property proposed for conservation are already surveyed and recorded with Lewis and Clark County, the previously recorded survey may be sufficient to meet this requirement. Similarly, if the boundaries of the property proposed for conservation are already defined by a legal description (metes and bounds, aliquot part, et al) recorded with Lewis and Clark County, the previously recorded legal description may be sufficient to meet this requirement. Since title insurance for the property proposed for conservation is also required, the legal description within the title commitment may also be sufficient to meet this requirement, depending on the Schedule B exceptions to coverage.

Please include with the legal description any information related to the adjacent properties, such as ownership, misplaced fencing, boundary discrepancies, or other known or potential problems.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ___

**b. Boundary description of any building envelopes**

If any building envelopes are proposed, the locations of those envelopes must be clearly described in the easement and supporting materials and must be identifiable in the field (unless the conservation easement allows for floating building envelopes that will be located at a future date). Such description may be provided by a survey, an aliquot part description, or a metes and bounds description, or a combination of those three. Alternatively, the locations of the building envelopes may be designated on other maps or aerial photographs that clearly identify the building envelope and allow it to be identifiable in the field. The acceptable methodology will be dependent upon the size and location of the building envelopes, the size, location and surroundings of the entire property, the proposed use of the building envelope, and the accuracy of the proposed method for identifying the envelope in the field.

Note that these descriptions of property are for purposes of implementing the Open Lands Program and do not create a "division of land" as defined in Section 76-3-103, MCA.

If no legally adequate boundary description is provided and boundary discrepancies are a concern, the County may ask for a survey of the property or part of the property proposed for conservation.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ___
3. Environmental Report

All Applicants proposing a conservation easement must submit an Environmental Report (ER or Report). This Report should contain information about the property, its known prior uses and potential contamination.

To complete the ER, the Applicant or Sponsor must:

- Interview the current landowner and any available and known prior owners to determine known prior uses of the property;
- Perform an investigation of the property. This investigation should be performed by someone with experience in looking for obvious signs of environmental contaminants (please include a CV or resume with ER); and
- Check the Title for environmental cleanup liens against the property.

County staff will solicit comments from and review records of appropriate federal, state, county, and municipal health/environmental officials and local, state and federal courts about known contamination at the site.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ___

If the Environmental Report indicates the potential of contamination and recommends further investigation, the Applicant shall conduct additional review to determine the nature and extent of any contamination, and whether remediation is needed to protect the property’s conservation values.

If remediation is recommended, the Applicant shall develop and provide the County the following information for the purpose of ensuring that environmental contamination will not interfere with the conservation purposes of the Project.

- A remediation plan that has been certified by a qualified environmental engineer or environmental agency to reasonably protect the public health and environment,
- The estimated cost of funding the plan and a schedule for implementation of the plan, and
- A description of how implementation will be funded.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ___

All Applicants proposing a fee title acquisition for conservation with County Open Lands bond funds will be asked to provide a Phase I environmental site assessment in accordance with ASTM E 1527 -05.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ___
4. Appraisal Information

Applicants must provide a qualified appraisal to the County. The appraisal must meet the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP).

In order to comply with MCA § 7-8-2202, the County Attorney, County Commissioners and Landowner all must participate in the selection of the appraiser. For this reason and to expedite the appraisal process, the County Attorney and County Commissioners have prepared a “Pre-selected list of qualified appraisers” from which the Sponsor/Applicant can select their appraiser. The appraisers on this list will all be experienced, independent, and certified as general real estate appraisers.

*Note that if the land contains structures or improvements, the appraisal should separately present the value of the land from the value of the structures/improvements. Generally, the County will not help purchase structures or other improvements on the land.*

*Applications under the Standard Review Process will typically provide an appraiser’s statement of value when submitting a project for preliminary approval. The complete qualified appraisal is to be provided with the remaining due diligence materials submitted in accordance with Section F, paragraph 6, of the Program Guide. Applications under the Expedited Review Process will provide a complete qualified appraisal as part of the Level Two project submission, in accordance with Section G, paragraph 1, of the Program Guide.*

*Note, however, that for either the standard or expedited review, the County may decide to accept the appraiser’s statement of the appraised value of the property without a completed appraisal report if, for good cause, the completed report cannot be ready in time for closing. In such circumstance the completed appraisal report must be submitted at a later date, as specified by the County.*

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes ___ or No ___

5. Signed Purchase and Sale Agreement

This will be an agreement between the Applicant/landowner, the Sponsor (if the easement holder), and the County. County will provide a template agreement. Once agreement is acceptable to all parties, the County will provide the Project’s contact person four copies of the agreement. Once the applicant and sponsor sign and return all four copies of the agreement, the authorized county staff will sign all four copies, file one in the County Commissioners Office, return one to the Project’s contact person and one to the Applicant/landowner, and keep one for the County’s Open Lands Program file.

The representative of the Sponsor organization, and the representative of the landowner/applicant, if landowner/applicant is an organization, must provide a resolution from their board or certificate of authority to sign and accept the Agreement.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes ___ or No ___
6. Mineral Remoteness Letter
If the mineral rights are severed from the surface estate, the County will ask the Sponsor to provide a mineral remoteness letter.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ___

7. Water Rights Information
Attach a General Abstract from the State of Montana’s Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC). The applicant or sponsor may order a General Abstract by calling DNRC or visiting: www.dnrc.mt.gov/wrd/water_rts/records_unit/default.asp. Click on the NRIS Water Right Query System under “Research for Water Rights,” plug in the landowner’s name, and order the General Abstract. The DNRC will compile a list of all domestic wells, stock water, irrigation water, surface or subsurface water in a particular area, if given a legal description.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ___

8. Supplementary Maps
☐ Site Location Map: On a Lewis and Clark County base map, clearly identify the location of the proposed acquisition. In the digital version of the application, provide a jpeg or pdf of the same map and location information. For a County base map, click here: http://www.co.lewis-clark.mt.us/departments/information-technology/gis-maps/wwwwhelenamontanamapsorg.html scroll down, read and accept the Terms of Use. On the next page, click on “Launch Lewis and Clark Viewer. Use the layers on the right hand side of the page or the tools at the top of the page for zooming and finding your property.

☐ Project Boundary Map: On a map of sufficiently large scale to see the property; identify the boundaries of the proposed project. Provide a digital version of this map. If reserved building sites are contemplated in your proposal, please identify their proposed locations and approximate size. Similarly, if your proposal contemplates excluding or subdividing land please indicate. For mapping assistance, use the County base map described in (a) above.

☐ Aerial Photo Map: Include the property boundaries over the most recent aerial photo available.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ___

9. Color Photos
Provide at least six (6) digital color photos (in jpeg format) of the property proposed for conservation. The photos should show important terrain, waterfront, man-made features, access roads, wetlands, unique characteristics, or other elements that make the property a good candidate for funding.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ____

10. Baseline Documentation Report
This report should contain all the information necessary to enforce the terms of the conservation easement.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ____
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ____

11. Draft deed of type stipulated in application
a. Draft of Conservation Easement
If a conservation easement is the legal tool proposed for the Project, the Sponsor/easement holder must submit to the County a proposed draft of conservation easement for the County’s legal review and to work out mutually satisfactory terms. This will be a grant from landowner (grantor) to land trust or public agency (grantee) with the County indicated as the backup grantee. The draft conservation easement submitted to the County should incorporate the Conservation Easement Provisions prepared by County legal staff.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ____
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ____

b. Draft deed of other property interests conveyed.
If a conservation easement is not the legal tool proposed for the Project, the Sponsor must submit to the County a proposed draft of the deed conveying the agreed to property interests for the County’s legal review and to work out mutually satisfactory terms.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ____
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ____

12. Notification of the Closing date.
Notify the County as soon as you are aware of your project’s anticipated closing date. Please coordinate with the appropriate County staff member before selecting a closing date if you are planning to use county funding at the closing.

Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ____
Submitted with Level Two Application? Yes _ or No ____
B. After the Board of County Commissioners approves the project and authorizes staff to close, but at least seven (7) days prior to closing, please submit the following:

1. **Draft settlement statements, other closing documents, and wire transfer instructions**
   
   This advance notice is essential to the County’s ability to ensure funds are available at closing. Please coordinate this with the closing agent at the title company as early as possible; otherwise, s/he may find it difficult to provide this information as far in advance as the County needs.

   Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___  
   Submitted prior to closing? Yes ___ or No ___

2. **A signed agreement about responsibilities between the County and the organization that will hold the easement or other property interests**

   County will ask that holder of the easement agree to send the County annual status reports on the property; and County will ask that the holder of the easement agree to send the County additional information not included in the annual status reports but that may relate to enforcement actions or other activities on the property affecting conservation values.

   Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___  
   Submitted prior to closing? Yes ___ or No ___

C. **Submit at or before Closing:**

1. **Signed Deed of Conservation Easement**
   
   All parties signing the Conservation Easement must provide proof of authorization to sign the easement, either a resolution or certificate of authority.

   Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___  
   Submitted at or before closing? Yes ___ or No ___

2. **Mortgage or Lien Subordination, if necessary**
   
   All parties signing the Mortgage Subordination must provide proof of authorization to sign the subordination document, either a resolution or certificate of authority.

   Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___  
   Submitted at or before closing? Yes ___ or No ___

D. **Submit within 30 days after Closing:**

1. **Copies of all recorded documents and title insurance policy**
   
   The Title Company will likely provide these.

   Required Document for this project? Yes ___ or No ___  
   Submitted within 30 days after closing? Yes ___ or No ___
E. Submit once every year

1. Update on the status of the property.

   Status report on property sent to County on ____________.

   Status report on property sent to County on ____________.

   Status report on property sent to County on ____________.
Provisions for Conservation Easement  
Funded with Lewis and Clark County’s Open Lands Program bond funds

Introduction

Lewis and Clark County Attorney’s Office will require that the following provisions, or provisions that are substantially similar, be included in the Conservation Easements that are purchased with funding from the County’s Open Lands Program. In certain rare instances the County Attorney’s Office may make exception to these general rules, as every project and conservation easement will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

While some of these provisions may also apply or be required where a type of property interest other than an easement is acquired with financial support from the County, this list of required provisions is created specifically for use in conservation easements.

(1) Backup Grantee or Third Party with Right of Enforcement:

Lewis and Clark County will likely require that it be named “Back-Up Grantee” [see the Land Trust Alliance fact sheet online] in the easement document. This requirement stems from the wording of the 2008 bond measure that requires that bond proceeds must fund the County’s acquisition of property interests, such as a conservation easement. If the County only provides funding and enters into a purchase and sale agreement with the seller/landowner, it is not actually “acquiring” a property interest. In order to comply with the measure language, the County must be a named interest-holder under the instrument recording the conveyance. Additionally, title companies will be unable to insure the County against defects in title if the County is not a named party under the legal instrument.

Naming the County as a “Backup Grantee” creates some protections for the primary Grantee as well. Including a provision for a Backup Grantee (a.k.a. third-party with rights of enforcement) in the easement deed can help permanently prevent termination of the easement by abandonment. If the original easement holder fails to enforce the terms of the easement, a third-party interest holder (the County, in this instance) can undertake responsibility for enforcement, thus ensuring that the easement does not go untended and fall victim to termination by abandonment. Use of third-party enforcement is suggested in the Uniform Conservation Easement Act, and this method of ensuring enforcement of conservation easement terms is commonly used throughout the United States.

Sample clause for incorporation into conservation easements: Back-UpGranteeWithThird-partyrightofenforcement.
If Grantee is unable or unwilling to enforce the terms of this Easement in the event of a violation or threatened violation of the terms hereof, Grantor and Grantee expressly agree that Lewis and Clark County’s role as Backup Grantee constitutes holding the Conservation Easement for purposes of enforcement pursuant to MCA § 76-11-211(2). As a public body holder of an easement, Backup Grantee, shall have the right to enforce the terms of this Easement to protect the Conservation Values for the benefit of the public as described below.

The Grantee will retain primary authority to monitor the Property and enforce the terms of the Easement. The Backup Grantee recognizes and agrees that the Grantee shall have discretion to assure that the Conservation Values are protected for the benefit of the public. The Backup Grantee’s third-party right of enforcement may only be exercised pursuant to this Section.

If a violation or threatened violation of the purposes or terms of this Easement come to the attention of Lewis and Clark County, Lewis and Clark County shall notify Grantee in writing of the violation or threatened violation. Upon receiving Lewis and Clark County’s written notice, the Grantee shall have thirty (30) days to investigate the violation or threatened violation, determine whether a violation has occurred or is threatened or imminent, and communicate its findings to Lewis and Clark County in writing. If the Grantee determines a violation has occurred or is threatened or imminent, Grantee shall immediately commence its enforcement processes set forth in Section __.

If Lewis and Clark County concludes that the enforcement actions (or lack of enforcement) taken by Grantee are not sufficient to protect the Conservation Values for the benefit of the public and that the Grantee’s actions are outside of its discretion in protecting those Conservation Values, Lewis and Clark County may notify Grantee and the Grantor of its intention to intervene and assert its right of enforcement to compel Grantor to comply with the Easement in thirty days unless: (i) Grantee and Grantor resolve the basis of Lewis and Clark County’s planned intervention to the County’s satisfaction, or (ii) Grantee agrees to undertake Lewis and Clark County’s planned intervention.

If, after thirty (30) days, neither (i) nor (ii) in the preceding sentence occurs, Lewis and Clark County may enforce the terms of this Easement to protect the Conservation Values for the benefit of the public pursuant to MCA § 76-11-211(2).

One predictable instance in which the County may waive the requirement that it be named “Backup Grantee” is where the primary Grantee or holder under the easement is a state or federal agency whose rules prevent them from acquiring easements with third parties. The County believes a waiver of the “Backup Grantee” requirement in this instance is defensible as long as the primary Grantee or holder is an agency of state or federal governmental (which would have the power of eminent domain over counties in any case), its goals for conservation mirror those of the County, and the public benefit provided by the easement receives the same protections as it would under the County’s jurisdiction.
Significant Public Benefit to County residents:

The County requires the following language, or substantially similar language, be incorporated into the Conservation Values section of conservation easements to recognize the public benefits provided by the easement. Additional language may be added to support the conservation purposes recognized by the Internal Revenue Service, if applicable, and further delineate the particular conservation values of the Property.

The Property provides significant public benefit to the people of Lewis and Clark County, Montana, the State of Montana, and the United States by conserving the Property for the following resources (hereafter collectively referred to as the “Conservation Values”):

a. Open-space lands that provide scenic enjoyment to the public or some other specifically delineated significant public benefit;
b. Scenic views of historic working landscapes in the historic and fertile [Helena/Blackfoot/Missouri River valley] valley with panoramas of the [Big Belts, Continental Divide, Rocky Mountain Front, Elkhorns] mountain ranges for members of the traveling public;
c. Working landscapes, for farming, ranching, forestry or other land-based activities, and the heritage of the activity (perhaps touching upon the type of ranching or farming, etc.);
d. Relatively natural habitat for [native plants, fish, and wildlife];
e. Water resources;
f. Cultural resources;
g. Recreation
h. Other.

(3) State Law’s recognition of the importance of private land conservation:

The County also requires the following language, or substantially similar language, to show that this instrument of conveyance is authorized by and created according to the laws of the State of Montana and the local government.

Sample clause for incorporation into conservation easements:

The State of Montana has recognized the importance of private voluntary conservation of private lands in the state by enacting the Montana Open-Space Land and Voluntary Conservation Easement Act, MCA Sections 76-6-101, et seq.; and

The Lewis and Clark County Commissioners have expressly recognized in Lewis and Clark County Resolution No. 2008-97, recording # 3153419, passed and adopted August 12, 2008, and in the Lewis and Clark County Growth Policy, adopted and amended ________________, the importance of preserving open-space
lands, including working lands and land for protecting water and wildlife, in Lewis and Clark County, Montana; and in furtherance of such Resolution and Growth Policy have specifically committed funds as noted in Section ________________ for the purchase of all or a portion of this Easement.

(4) Amendment:

After the easement has been recorded, the County requires that the parties to the easement obtain its approval before amending the conservation easement.

Example:

Easement Amendments. In the rare circumstance where an amendment to or modification of this Easement is sought, all parties (Grantor, Grantee and Backup Grantee) must agree in writing to the amendment or modification.

To obtain the approval of the Backup Grantee, a request to amend must be submitted in writing to the County’s Community Development and Planning Office together with proof that the conservation values will not be adversely affected. The request must be placed on the Commissioners’ agenda for the next available regularly scheduled public meeting of the County Commission, and a public hearing must be held on the request.

The Backup Grantee’s approval of amendments sought jointly by Grantor and Grantee shall not be unreasonably withheld, as long as there is (1) no adverse impact on conservation values and (2) no private inurment or financial benefit back to the landowner.

Any amendment must be in writing, signed by all parties, or their successors and assigns, and recorded in the official records of Lewis and Clark County, Montana.

(5) Assignment:

The County will require that its approval be obtained before the holder of the easement assigns its rights under the easement to another holder, even if the assignee is qualified under the terms of § 76-6-101, et. seq., as required under § 76-6-205, MCA. Approval from the County will not be unreasonably withheld. The following is an example of this type of assignment provision:

Example:

Assignment of Rights under Easement. Both Grantee and Backup Grantee may assign their interests under the easement to another entity,

(1) provided the assignee is a “qualified private organization” under § 76-6-104(5) or “public body” under §76-6-104(4), and further described by § 76-6-204 and I.R.C., Section 170(h)(3) and
(2) provided the Grantee has notified and obtained the written approval from Backup Grantee or Backup Grantee has notified and obtained written approval from Grantee.

Approval shall not be unreasonably withheld.

In the event of any assignment, both Grantee and Backup Grantee agree that each will seek an assignee that has conservation of open space or other resource pertinent to the conservation purposes of this Easement as a substantial organizational purpose. Any such qualified organization or public body shall agree in writing to enforce in perpetuity the terms and purposes of this Easement as a condition of such assignment. Any assignment must be recorded with the Clerk and Recorder.

Grantee represents to the Grantor and Backup Grantee that its present intention is to assign its interest in this Easement only in connection with a dissolution of the Grantee. Should Grantee dissolve without properly assigning its interest to a willing and qualified assignee, Grantee’s interest will automatically transfer to the Backup Grantee until Backup Grantee makes an assignment of those interests to a willing and qualified assignee and records such interest.

(6) Termination or Extinguishment:

Termination and Extinguishment provisions typically identify when an easement may be terminated and how the parties to the Conservation Easement must be compensated if the easement is extinguished.

The County will require that it be compensated according to the proportion of the easement’s value that the County contributed. Any compensation received by the County as a result of an termination or extinguishment will be made available only for acquisition of another conservation easement or to fund other conservation projects that are priorities for the current Open Lands Program and approved through whatever process exists at that time. Additional language may be added to be consistent with the termination or extinguishment requirements of the Internal Revenue Service, if applicable.

Example:

Termination and Extinguishment Language. This Easement constitutes a real property interest immediately vested in the Grantee and Backup Grantee with a fair market value that is equal to the proportionate value that this Easement, as of the date of conveyance, bears to the value of the Property as a whole at that time. This proportionate value shall remain constant. The values applicable for purposes of the calculations required by this paragraph shall be the final values used to determine the value of this Easement at the time of its conveyance.
If a subsequent unexpected change in conditions renders the conservation purposes of this Easement impossible to accomplish, this Easement may be extinguished, in whole or in part, by judicial proceedings in a court of competent jurisdiction. The amount of the compensation to which Grantee and Backup Grantee shall be entitled from any sale, exchange, or involuntary conversion of all or any portion of the Property, subsequent to such extinguishment, shall be determined in accordance with this section, unless otherwise provided by Montana law at the time. Grantee and Backup Grantee shall use any such proceeds received from easement termination in a manner consistent with the conservation purposes of this Easement.

If for any reason this Easement is terminated as to all, or a portion, of the Property, the Grantee and Backup Grantee, on a subsequent sale, exchange, or involuntary conversion of the Property, or any portion thereof, shall be entitled to a percentage of the proceeds of such sale, exchange or involuntary conversion, equal to the proportionate value determined above. In the event that such proportionate value was determined without regard to structural improvements existing on the Property at the time of the conveyance, then such improvements shall be disregarded in determining the amount of such proceeds, etc. to which the Grantee is entitled hereunder. In any event, the value of structural improvements made to the Property after the date of conveyance shall be disregarded in determining such amount.

(7) Indemnification:

The easement should provide the County indemnity protection against any potential liability. The County will require that it be included in any indemnification language, such as the following:

Example:

**Grantor’s Obligation to Indemnify.** Grantor agrees to hold harmless and indemnify Grantee, and/or Backup Grantee from and against all liabilities, penalties, costs, losses, damages, expenses, causes of action, claims, demands, or judgments, including, without limitation, Grantee’s reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs of defense, arising from or in any way connected with:

Grantee and Backup Grantee do not intend to possess and use the property to the exclusion of others within the meaning of Mont. Code Ann. § 70-1-101, or manage, direct or conduct operations on a day-to-day basis.

Nothing in this Easement shall be construed as giving rise to any right or ability in Grantee or Backup Grantee to exercise physical or managerial control over activities on the Property or to become an “owner” or “operator” of the Property within the meaning of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601 et seq., (“CERCLA”), or the Montana

The Grantor shall indemnify and hold the Grantee and Backup Grantee harmless from any court awarded damages, together with reasonable attorney’s fees and expenses incurred by the Grantee and Backup Grantee, and all attorney’s fees and expenses assessed against the Grantee and/or the Backup Grantee, resulting from any and all of the following:

- Personal injury or property damage that occurs on the Property not due to the negligence of the Grantee or the Backup Grantee;

- Liability, including, but not limited to, liability under CERCLA, and/or similar local, state or federal laws, relating to cleanup of hazardous substances that were released or in any way deposited on the Property, other than by the Grantee or Backup Grantee;

- Or other costs associated with the upkeep of the Property or taxes.

(8) Building Envelopes or Conveyances of Property:

Where the conservation easement proposes to reserve for Grantor certain rights to build or develop on the property proposed for conservation (in a building envelope, development area, or similarly identified property earmarked for a specific use) or where the conservation easement proposes to reserve for Grantor the right to divide his property for purposes of conveying land (sale, rent, lease, gift or other conveyance) to another, the County requires that certain language be included in the easement clarifying that such reservations do not guarantee the approval of such development or conveyance by federal, state or local government.

Example: Compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, ordinances, and regulations:

If Grantor elects to build in a designated building envelope provided for under the easement and described in the exhibits to the easement, or decides to divide for purposes of conveying a piece of the property as permitted under the easement, Grantor must comply with all federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations.

(9) Baseline Documentation:

Both Grantee and Backup Grantee must maintain baseline documentation prepared by the Grantee in preparation of this conveyance. This documentation provides the information on the condition of the property at the time the easement was negotiated and recorded.
APPENDIX I
Project Evaluation Matrix: This document serves as a qualitative evaluation of a level 2 application. CAC members will place their vote for each criteria in either a low, medium, or high ranking. The final rank will represent a summary of the ranking by the CAC chair (or designee) based on discussion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conserve large blocks of land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand and connect existing open land holdings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximize the public benefit return on the County’s funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conserve working farms and ranches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conserve working forests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect habitat for fish and wildlife</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide access for recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide opportunities for outdoor recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect water resources and water quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect and restore riparian corridors along waterways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve open lands and natural areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manage growth and development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure permanent conservation outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>