

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

September 2, 2003

Chair Varone: (tape started)... to my left is Commissioner Murray, to his left is Carole Byrnes our executive secretary. To my right is Commissioner Tinsley, to his right is Sharon Haugen our director of planning. My name is Anita Varone.

Others attending all or part of the meeting: Paul Putz, Von L. Jones, Deborah W. Jones, Jason Mohr and Jerry Shepherd.

**Pledge of Allegiance.** (everyone recited the pledge)

Chair Varone: In the front of the room is a sign up sheet, I know I asked Paul to pass around a little bit earlier, if anyone hasn't signed it that needs to, please do. There is also an agenda at the front as well. Our Chief Administrative Officer just came into the room.

**Agreement with Office of the Court Administrator Agreement (Supreme Court).** (Art Pembroke)The Commissioners will consider the agreement to provide PC network connections for District Court, Juvenile Probation and other staff affected by state assumption of district courts.

Ron Alles: Commissioner Varone, Art should be here. If the commission doesn't have questions, we could move forward with it, if you do we need Art here to answer the questions.

Chair Varone: Commissioner Murray?

Commissioner Murray: Madam Chair, Commissioner Tinsley, Mr. Alles, is this the same amount that a county agency would pay for the computer.

Ron Alles: Madam Chair, Commissioner Murray, the amounts are consistent. There's a modification to the, I guess the formula used for these outside agencies, but it's consistent with what the IT board has adopted and approved.

Chair Varone: I was hoping that he would be here, because I do have a question about that and number two on the item for the length of the agreement and the conditions about which they can change, the amount it didn't seem to be real clear coming from the supreme court and how that worked. So, if the commission wouldn't mind, could we just move on to item number 3 and maybe ask someone to go find Art to answer some questions. Oh, Carole just emailed her. Thank you very much Carole.

Chair Varone: If my math, my calculations are correct this would total for the 22 connections approximately \$15,026.00 per year. Is that correct Art?

Art Pembroke: Madam Chair, Commissioners, what you have before you is a continuation of the agreement that we entered into with the Office of The Court Administrator last year to provide network services. The rate has increased this year according to the budget as approved by the commission earlier this year. All other aspects of that agreement are the same as they were last year.

Chair Varone: Do you have any questions of Art?

Commissioner Murray: I'm not sure that's the question you asked. What is the total of the contract was the question.

Art Pembroke: The total of the contract is dependent on the total number of connections. They pay \$683.00 per year per network connection. Right now that was based on 22 connections if they go above that add

printers, add PC's we will charge them additional network connection fees. This is based off the same network connection fee schedule we're billing the City and County Departments on. So, the total I'm not really sure, it was around \$13,000.00 - \$15,000.00 if they used all their network connections.

Chair Varone: I penciled it out, it's \$170.75 per connection per quarter, and that calculates to \$3,756.50 per quarter or \$15,026.00 per year if the 22 connections only.

Art Pembroke: That would seem correct.

Commissioner Tinsley: I believe when I ran for commissioner there wouldn't be any math involved.

Commissioner Murray: I disagree with the math, I got 26.

Chair Varone: I did it quick while I was up here waiting so, I wanted an amount, if I'm wrong that's not unusual. My question was, and I know this is kind of a renewal, number two Art says that the agreement shall take effect and terminate blah blah, and may renew with mutually agreed terms is that what you're using as a caveat to change the amount and the additional connections.

Art Pembroke: Madam Chair, Commissioners, that is correct.

Chair Varone: Thank you. Commissioner?

Commissioner Tinsley: Madam Chair, Commissioner Murray, Mr. Pembroke. I have two quick questions. Does this include, will this agreement include a remote cameras for the District Court Rooms, I know that Judge Jewell already has one in the Justice of the Peace courtroom. When I was doing a tour earlier this year I was asked by a couple of the staff for Judge, one of the Judges, anyway, they asked about getting access and it appears that Judge, not Honzel or, God I can't think of any other names this morning, anyway one of the court rooms is wired for this capability now, they bring up a good point regarding safety of the court room and safety of the Judge and safety of the witnesses etc, to do some remote from the jail, some remote courtroom activities. Does this cover that type of activity, number one? And number two, have you been in any contact with them regarding this, because I thought I brought this up to you and if I haven't I'd like to talk to you about it in the future.

Art Pembroke: Madam Chair, Commissioner Tinsley, Commissioner Murray. We have initiated discussions with the Courts regarding video conferencing as a matter of fact I'm meeting with Nancy Sweeney tomorrow to discuss this. The actual connection fees for the video conferencing will be separate and outside of our agreement. It would be handled, billed and built by the Office of Court Administrator. But we are having that meeting, I believe it's tomorrow morning at 10:15a.m.

Chair Varone: Thank you Art. Any other questions? What's the pleasure of the Commission?

Commissioner Tinsley: Madam Chair, I make a motion that we approve the agreement with the Office of the Court Administrator and authorize the chair to sign.

Commissioner Murray: Second.

Chair Varone: All those in favor, signify by saying 'I'

Commissioners: 'I'

Chair Varone: Motion carries. Thank you Art.

**Proposed Abandonment of a Portion of South Street Adjacent to Block 35 and 36 of the City Park**

**Addition.** (Petitioner, Donald & Deborah Jones) (Jerry Grebenc)

The Commissioners will consider abandoning the property. The street is located in the SE1/4 of Section 28, T10N, R3W, Lewis and Clark County.

Chair Varone: Mr. & Mrs. Jones are in the audience I believe. Have you had an opportunity, I'm not going to ask you to come forward because you have your equipment with you so just a shake of your head would be fine. Mrs. Jones if you'd like to come forward that would be fine. Have you had an opportunity to read the information? She says yes we have, and do you have any comments before we begin? Sir, if you do have a comment I do need to ask you, maybe Juan could bring the microphone to you. Thank you sir.

Donald Jones: One of the reasons for wanting this closed is working with the city through the new proposed Alice Street that goes in directly to the south of our property and I had a meeting with Mr. Hal Fossom(?) and he suggested we go ahead and move the property line in 32-feet to allow for the Alice Street to go in there and in return we'd close South Street and that would let us get the length of the building in with the proper clearances and stuff like that and that's where this all came from to start with, to close South and still open up the 32 foot on the south side of the property for that new Alice Street that is supposed to go through there.

Chair Varone: Okay, Thank you sir.

Jerry Grebenc: Madam Chair, Commissioners, the proposed abandonment is located south of Hwy 12, it's just a little bit east of DOT main office, the Manning building. It's located between Douglas Street and Wilkinson Street and as you can see in the overhead it's outlined in red, that's the section of South Street that the applicants are proposing for abandonment. If we can get this to work, which it's not for some reason. Bare with me. That's a first. Here's a close-up of the street section that's to be abandoned and you can see that Douglas Street on the West, Wilkinson on the East, the applicants Metal Building business is located here and some of the building equipment and the street section is outlined in red. The applicants are proposing abandonment of a portion of South Street in the City Park Addition, which is one of the old platted town sites between blocks 35 and 36. Currently this portion of street has construction equipment and materials located within it. The applicants have stated that necessity and advantage of the abandonment is that the adjacent property owners are the Montana Metal Buildings, Inc. and the Jones's. Mr. Jones is the president of Montana Metal Buildings. Here's a scan of the City Park Addition plat that just shows you the old platted town site with the lots and the streets to give you kind of an idea of what it looked like when it was platted. This is a digital photo from standing on Wilkinson looking straight west down the street right of way. This standing on Douglas looking to the East, basically the opposite view and then this is just looking at the interior of the right of way with the construction materials and what not. The right of way has never been constructed and as stated earlier it currently has construction equipment and material within it. The abandonment of this street right of way would appear not to have any negative impacts upon access or integration of road networks. As Mr. Jones did state the City is working with them on the extension of Alice Street, which is directly south and adjacent to their property. With regard to utilities, no utilities were observed within the right of way. We did not obtain comments from any utility companies until late Friday afternoon and they just indicated that they are not going to relinquish their utility easements. But that doesn't affect the right of way. As far as emergency services, the City of Helena does provide fire protection, they did not comment on the proposal. State statute does require that the county road supervisor and one county commissioner inspect the site prior to the public hearing on the abandonment. Commissioner Varone was kind enough to go out and look at the right of way and provide comments. Also state statute does grant the Board of County Commissioners the decision and discretion of which adjacent property owner would acquire the right of way and typically it divides it between the ownership. In this case, Montana Metal Buildings, which Mr. Jones is the president of, and the Jones do own the adjacent property. The section of Alice Street that is in question is down here on the southern part of the lots and that would be part of the extension of Alice Street. That kind of dovetails with the cadberry development and what not. With that, staff does recommend approval of the abandonment of a portion of South Street located between blocks 35 and 36 of the City Park Addition, subject to three conditions as recommended by staff.

Chair Varone: Thank you Jerry, any questions of Jerry? Commissioner Murray?

Commissioner Murray: Madam Chair, Commissioner Tinsley, Jerry, Mr. Grebenc excuse me. What's the fair market value of the proposed right of way that you're giving away?

Jerry Grebenc: Madam Chair, Commissioner Murray, I don't have that answer for you; I don't know what it would go for.

Commissioner Murray: Commissioners, I think that might be an issue. If we're giving away county assets, we might want to determine the fair market value.

Chair Varone: Sharon?

Sharon Haugen: Madam Chair, Commissioners. To this date the county has never had the appraised value for the right of way nor have we done that, that's something certainly staff can do but just for the record we've never had to address the issue before so that's my staff and the office hasn't prepared an estimate.

Commissioner Murray: Madam Chair, Commissioner Tinsley, Ms. Haugen, this is the first time it's appeared in a staff report (unknown).

Jerry Grebenc: Madam Chair, Commissioners, if I might speak to that. We discussed that with the Deputy County Attorney many weeks ago about road abandonment's and that it was probably incumbent upon staff to make sure that the commissioners knew that under statute one they had the ability to decide who obtained the right of way if it's abandoned and second under the statute they have the discretion to request fair market value so it's just in there for your information and that's the only reason that it was there.

Chair Varone: Commissioner Tinsley?

Commissioner Tinsley: Madam Chair, I just wanted to ask one quick question. You indicated that statute requires a County Roads Supervisor, I didn't see a report from him, does he need to formally go out and look at this and give us a comment.

Jerry Grebenc: Typically they will go out and look at it, we didn't receive any comments from the County Road Supervisor. Because this is essentially going to be surrounded by the City of Helena, we did get comments from both public works, verbal comments from city public works and the city planning department and they indicated no problem with this abandonment. Primarily due to the fact that Alice Street would be extended along the southern part of the property.

Chair Varone: If I could comment a little bit, when I went out there and took a look at it, and realized that there had been no improvements at all, the street hadn't been built, but that taking a look at Alice Street and it was continued further down it seemed like a likely road, this was between Alice Street and the street that's requested, South Street that's requested to be abandoned and it's not very far and it just seemed reasonable, my only concern was that it looked like there were weeds on the property and since I don't have a knowledge of weeds and just a bunch of dead grass and stuff, that's why, did you take a look out there are they weeds or are they, what are they?

Jerry Grebenc: Madam Chair, Commissioners, with regards to the vegetation on the property and noxious weeds, typically what the county weed district would require if they were noxious weeds is that you'd either have to mow the weeds, spray the weeds, or pull the weeds. In this case, the Jones's have kept the property up through mowing and I didn't identify any noxious weeds and nonetheless it was pretty dry and this year not much is going to be green this time of year but they have kept the vegetation down so likely I don't know that noxious weeds were as big an issue here as they were in some other situations.

Chair Varone: Thank you

Deborah Jones: Madam Chair, I'd like to address that. We've always sprayed, in fact we've already sprayed this year for the kosha(?) and knap weed, we pull the knap weed, we pull the toflax(?) and the rest of it. We've sprayed once and we mow every year. Again because of conditions with the dry July and the bad air in August we have not mowed as often as we do.

Chair Varone: Great, Thank you. Like I said I don't know if it's noxious weed or obnoxious weed, I just saw some stuff out there. Thank you.

Deborah Jones: I did have one further comment. We're not aware, there were some other properties, some other ally right of ways that were abandoned out in that area and the people that took that property were not charged anything for those properties when those were closed.

Chair Varone: Thank you. Any other comments Commissioners? This is a public hearing, anyone wishing to speak in support of and opposition to or in general, please come forward now. For the record, state your name and address. For the second time. For the third time. Sir.

Jerry Longmeier(?): I'm Jerry Longmeier, I live next door to Don's building out there, I'm his neighbor, I've been his neighbor for years and I have no problem with that at all and I want to support Don in all of his ventures. 375 Wilkinson is my address.

Chair Varone: Thank you. Anyone else wishing to speak? For the second time? For the third time? This closes the public hearing. What's the pleasure of the commission?

Commissioner Murray: Madam Chair, I move approval of the abandonment subject to three conditions as proposed by staff.

Commissioner Tinsley: Second, Discussion. Madam Chair, Commissioner Murray, I think you brought up a very valid point and from this point forward we need to come up with some kind of guideline for us because I wasn't aware either until I saw that in there until Commissioner Murray brought it up, but we should come up with some guidelines for in the future, if you wouldn't mind working on that Sharon.

Sharon Haugen: Madam Chair, Commissioners, we'll go ahead and work on that policy for the commission.

Chair Varone: As you're looking at that would you see if other counties are doing in that regard and let us know.

Chair Varone: It's been moved and forwarded to approve the proposed abandonment of a portion of South Street adjacent to Block 35 and 36 in the City Park Addition, All those in favor, signify by saying 'I'.

Commissioners: 'I'

Chair Varone: Motion carries. Thank you both for coming today, if you'd work with Jerry. If you have any questions, I'm sure he can just answer all of them. Thank you.

**Historic Preservation Commission Project Application for Conservation Corps Services and Memorandum of Understanding.** (Paul Putz) The Commissioners will consider the application and MOU to repair an historic barn at 684 West Main.

Paul Putz: Thank you very much, Madam Chair, Members of the Commission for the opportunity to give this presentation this morning. This does involve the Memorandum of Understanding concerning the repair and stabilization of this historic barn located in the West Main Historic District. It is public/private quadroom project with the County providing advice and the owner providing the tools. The conservation, Montana Conservation Corps providing labor for the project. This is called the 'Hay Barn' for the name of the family, not for the fact that it did hold hay. To the West Main register historic district and for those of you familiar with the confusing street geography of the Main Street in Helena, this is the southern part of Main street that terminates at Oro Fino Gulch and the Grizzly Gulch turn off to the West. This barn stands right at the intersection. It dates from before 1908 since we have photographic evidence dated at that time it's probably 19<sup>th</sup> century. It's (unknown) local type, I've seen several of these structures around town with, built the

particular way that it is and for that particular purpose of housing horses and their feed and it's part of the Hays family homestead. With this information that I put down with the Hays (unknown) water rights up here, appear they came to (unknown) because I did check historic record it didn't mention that so consider it a draft until I can confirm it. It is mentioned in the National Register (unknown) is a contributing structure to the district. The lower section of the structure is built of logs, the doors that you see, the double doors on either side of this eastern end were built when the lateral (unknown) came into use. The original doors very long tall structure looks like it was made to accommodate a horse and perhaps if he was going real fast, a rider, ducking, that's on at the time the animals came in there was some grain embedded around the bottom of the structure and cement foundation on this particular end of it. The foundation is generally solid, in the back of the building there's some deterioration, that's happening very slowly and of course we have the advantage in this country of having a dry climate and that does tend to preserve structures, wooden structures that are exposed to the elements so the deterioration happening but not at an alarming rate. The upper floors a framed construction and built of course is the hayloft for the barn. There's a door on the east side so you can see right in the center there and it's (unknown) siding upright, boards and then other boards to cover the other spaces. The roof is the problem; it's in very poor condition. Shingles are missing, it is sagging, the support system on the inside not to built to particular standards to begin with. There's now sagging down the center and the east wall on the upper side of the upper part is going outward toward the street and some of the board and buttons are missing and deteriorated. As you can see the sunlight coming in, it does need a shingle job. On the floor that is directly underneath the roof, the water was pouring in and that's contributing to the growth of fungus otherwise known as rot and you can see some of the white splotches on the boards on the back of this structure are affected by that. That needs to be arrested through the application of the fungicide, which will, and then if the dries up condition will stop. So those boards all the way through have to be replaced. The owner is Ms. Margie Broderick, she has several structures on this property, this is a log cabin, further on there's the old homestead house, these buildings date from 1870's - 1880's. One of the houses is in very good condition some other structures are in good to poor condition so she has a lot of challenges ahead in terms of protecting her homestead. She (unknown) used the village practically so she can't really afford to have a museum out there but she's willing to share the costs if someone can assist her with the project. After taking a look at this structure, it's determined that the best thing to do with it considering the resources at hand is to stabilize it, that is to do the most immediate in urgency that's required to keep the water out of the building because that is what is causing the trouble. A new roof support will be installed on the inside consisting of two (unknown) walls running parallel to the structure and then that will support a new roof. The deteriorated boards and buttons will be replaced, there will be new shingle placed on top of the roof those should be the same kind of boards that are there now which would roughly be  $\frac{3}{4}$  inch (unknown) pine, new shingles would be put up and then the boards on the floors would be replaced as well. The other rooms, there's room for a garage and for storage, and it will retain it's historical appearance for the general public's view. The tour train turns around up here I'm told and so a lot of people stop and take photographs of this barn. The garage doors has at this point since it's photographed been installed and it is the door to the right it's compatible (unknown) door with panels added that's been painted kind of like a brown it fits in very well with the structure and it's consistent with the concept of where adaptive use of structures. In general the project is been designed as kind of a four-way partnership, Montana Conservation Corps which is a group that hosts 9 people every year to repair structures that are owned by the National Forest Service and other public agencies and also to repair trails and things like that out in the woods has agreed to use this as a training project for the team leaders so they provide the labor for free. Bjerke Architects will provide design and drawings for the building permit and Susan has been up to talk to us about her approach while she does have in her mind the way we should construct the application for the building permit that has been discussed with the City and her approach and they have agreed on her approach. What we don't have yet is the building permit application so from Susan, I've been in contact with her office and how that's coming along. The City/County Historical Preservation Commission will provide in general four things. That is in the agreement under the section of what the County agrees to do. We provide training information and I've done some of that. Lectures on historic preservation to the people who are going to undertake the project since this is a training project for the corps that's an important aspect for them to get that information. We'll be looking for donors for scaffolding to erect around the building so that it will be safe to work on it and so I'll have a draft of an agreement prepared for the owner so they'll contact the Historic Preservation Commission and ask for their advice prior to making changes on the structure in the future. So their commitment to the project will be to listen to that advice, we're not asking for a binding agreement, but that one approach generally works for

donors if they're relating to the Historic Preservation Commission. And then finally, we'll appear at the worksite occasionally and generally be available to advise and monitor the project with regard to historic preservation. Further on in the agreement, there is a couple different places it says the owner will hold the County harmless in the event of any unforeseen circumstance (unknown) happens and the other aspect is we're not guaranteeing the work. The owner pays for the tools, electricity, building permits and other construction costs, the estimate is about \$5,000.00 for the owner to pay. I guess I should mention the other aspects of the project. The work, we hope to begin around September 15<sup>th</sup>, that means at that time we hope to have the building permit, we hope to have the materials purchased and we hope to have from the owner a cleared site for the work to begin and the scaffolding secured. So we're kind of coming up on this but it's taken a while to get all of this, all the agreements and all this information together and approval from the attorneys. Paul Stahl has taken a look at this agreement and I understand from Cheryl gave his approval. At this time if the Commissioners, have any questions, I'd be glad to answer them.

Chair Varone: Thank you Paul. Any questions of Paul? Commissioner Murray?

Commissioner Murray: Madam Chair, Commissioner Tinsley, Mr. Putz. In the first and second slide, noticeable was the PC Pipe in the building, will that be removed as part of the historic preservation? Slide one on the outside left-hand side of the building there's a pipe running down, slide 2 inside the

Paul Putz: I see it. Some of this material is simply going up as part of the owners yard. The PVC pipe there is as a matter of fact, I think that Commissioner Murray, if I remember correctly when I was at the worksite on Thursday, that wasn't there. The other clear on the side the other of course would be the owners property and if (unknown) accumulates on it, we haven't addressed how the property would be cared for visually if that is indeed your question.

Commissioner Murray: It is indeed. Madam Chair, on the second to the last page, financial considerations states the cost associated with sponsoring the project are born by Lewis and Clark County. What do you expect those costs to be?

Paul Putz: Yes, the costs associated with sponsoring the project, Commissioner Murray, is the cost of operating the Historic Preservation Office for the hours I've devoted to it, in other words my salary, telephone costs, etc.

Commissioner Murray: So your work and expertise are the costs involved.

Paul Putz: Yes sir.

Commissioner Murray: Thank you.

Chair Varone: Any other questions of Mr. Putz. What's the pleasure of the County?

Commissioner Murray: Madam Chair, I move we enter into an application, into an agreement regarding application for the conservation corps services and the owner of the property Marge Broderick and authorize the chair to sign.

Commissioner Tinsley: Second

Chair Varone: All those in favor, signify by saying 'I'

Commissioners: 'I'

Chair Varone: Motion carries. Thank you Paul. Good Luck

Paul Putz: Madam Chair, Members of the Commission, Thank you very much.

Chair Varone: When the project is complete Paul, would you take pictures it would be nice to take a look at

them if you don't mind. Thank you.

**2003-2004 Fire Protection Agreement for Fairgrounds.**

The Commissioners will consider the annual agreement in the amount of \$4,056.

Ron Alles: Madam Chair, Commissioners, this is a contract with the City of Helena for that fire protection at the fairgrounds. It's the same amount that was done last year, and I believe it's been the same amount for the last four years if I recall correctly. Staff does recommend approval for this contract.

Chair Varone: Any questions of Ron? Commissioner Murray?

Commissioner Murray: Chair Varone, Commissioner Tinsley, I see that Chief Shepherd is present today. What unique fire protection Ron does this require that West Side Fire Department, it happens to be in their district can't provide.

Ron Alles: What unique protection does the City offer that West Valley doesn't offer?

Commissioner Murray: Yes

Ron Alles: I would ask that of Chief Shepherd.

Chief Shepherd: I guess the only difference between the City and West Helena would be the ISO rating and that may be a consideration, I know that was a consideration of (unknown) with the West Side Fire Service Area was there ISO was lower than West Valley. But with the fact now that the grandstands are missing, no longer there at the, have been torn down at the fairgrounds, I guess my question would be is why Lewis and Clark County or West Valley don't have a chance to bid on this. Especially Lewis and Clark County since they now have a station right next to it, so that would be my question.

Chair Varone: Commissioner Tinsley did you have a comment.

Commissioner Tinsley: That was going to be my questions as well; I was wondering why we were dealing with the City when we have two capable districts that could do it, so.

Ron Alles: Madam Chair, Commissioners. From my perspective it's the commissions pleasure. Whichever department you choose to go with. I would suspect that at some point annexation would be brought forward to the commissioners as we pursue the infrastructure improvements out there. We are connected to City water currently within the fairgrounds. At some point we may have sewer and water and typically based on the City ordinance annexation is required. Granted the City would not enjoy the same benefit of annexation of tax-exempt property that they would with private property because we would not add to their taxable value out there. But, perhaps what we need to do or that I would recommend that we do, prior to making the decision on choosing another department is sit down with West Valley and the City of Helena and discuss the options here.

Chair Varone: If I could comment on that, I think that would be a good idea. I think part of those discussions need to be response time with a volunteer fire department, people on their jobs what is the response time down there as opposed to what the City could provide. I'm sure that the County could provide similar services that the City can but the response time maybe is a question.

Commissioner Murray: Madam Chair, Commissioner Tinsley, Mr. Alles. Can you get this done in one week or two weeks?

Ron Alles: I think we can get it done in one week.

Commissioner Murray: Madam Chair, I move to table this item until September 9<sup>th</sup> at our Public Hearing.

Commissioner Tinsley: Second

Chair Varone: All those in favor, signify by saying 'I'

Commissioners: 'I'

Chair Varone: Motion carries

**2003-2004 Fire Protection Agreement for Westside Fire Service Area.**

The Commissioners will consider the annual agreement in the amount of \$91,581.

Commissioner Murray: Madam Chair, last year we spent extensive time with the residents and with the City of Helena and I believe Chief Shepherd and the ISO rating and the savings to the people because of the ISO rating was the determining factor here and unless there's further discussion from Commission, I would move that the Commission enter into an agreement with the City of Helena for Westside protection and authorize the chair to sign.

Commissioner Tinsley: Second

Chair Varone: All those in favor, signify by saying 'I'

Commissioners: 'I'

Chair Varone: Motion carries

**Application for Federal Assistance.** (nunc pro tunc/Commission signed 8/28/03)

The Commissioners will consider the application for National Fire Plan Projects in Lewis and Clark, Jefferson, and Broadwater Counties.

Commissioner Murray: Madam Chair, As I think from my discussions with Mr. McKelvey, this particular grant would provide \$100,000.00 to be used in the Wolf Creek/Craig area primarily for fire mitigation and Pat watered the fires that he was assigned to as a type II manager made the sales with BLM convincing them that this was a necessary grant. I'm going to make a motion that we authorize the chair to sign nunc pro tunc.

Commissioner Tinsley: Second

Chair Varone: All those in favor, signify by saying 'I'

Commissioners: 'I'

Chair Varone: Motion carries

**Commission Districts.**

The Commissioners will consider the new districts.

Chair Varone: The Commission Districts will be moved to Thursday, the maps were just received and our copies weren't available so with the Commissioners approval, we'll move this to Thursday.

Commissioner Murray: So moved.

Commissioner Tinsley: Second

Chair Varone: All those in favor, signify by saying 'I'

Commissioners: 'I'

Public comments on matters within the Commission's jurisdiction.

Adjourn